

OIT-0847/88
3 1 AUG 1999

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Director	of	Congressional	Affairs

FROM:

Edward J. Maloney

Director of Information Technology

STAT

SUBJECT:

GAO Query on Computer Security Act of 1987

REFERENCES: A. Letter fm GAO to DCI requesting completion of attached questionnaire on Computer

B. Letter fm GAO to DCI following up on

Security Act of 1987, dtd 18 Jul 88

Reference A, dtd 3 Aug 88

C. Memo fm OMB, dtd 26 May 88, Subject: Request for Comment on Draft Guidance...by the Computer Security Act of 1987

D. OGC Memo OGC-81-05486, dtd 30 Jun 81

E. OS Memo OS-8-5628, dtd 15 Aug 88

1. PURPOSE: This memorandum presents OIT's rationale for claiming exemption from reporting requirements of the Computer Security Act of 1987 and, consequently, exemption from completing the General Accounting Office (GAO) questionnaire requested by references A and B. It also presents a suggested response to GAO.

2. BACKGROUND: The Computer Security Act of 1987 is concerned with the protection of "sensitive" information in federal computer systems. Sensitive information is defined as information that is not classified but "the loss, misuse, or unauthorized access to or modification of which could adversely affect the national interest or the conduct of Federal programs, or the privacy to which individuals are entitled." The Act, with certain exceptions, requires the identification of all systems containing such sensitive information and the establishment of security, privacy and security training plans for such systems. The Act further requires that the National Bureau of Standards and the National Security Agency receive copies of such plans. The GAO questionnaire is a result of Congressional interest in determining the extent to which federal agencies are complying with the requirements of the Act.

DOWNGRADE TO AIUO WHEN SEPARATED FROM ATTACHMENT

CONFIDENTIAL

STAT

STAT

CONFIDENTIAL

3. OIT POSITION: OIT's position is that the Agency is exempt from all provisions of the Act, including the reporting provisions. The OMB guidance in Reference C states in part that the Act does not apply to (a) "systems containing classified information, " (b) "systems involving intelligence activities, " or (c) "mixed classified/unclassified systems, providing that such systems are always operated under rules for protecting classified information." Most Agency systems meet parts (a) or (c) of this exemption. OIT believes that those systems that are not covered by parts (a) or (c) are covered by part (b). This assertion is supported by wording in the Act itself which exempts those systems "excluded by section 3502(2) of Title 44, United States Code." This section of the Code refers to exempting "intelligence activities" from provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980. In the opinion of the General Counsel (reference D), all of the Agency's ADP (computer) equipment would fit in this exemption. The reference in the Computer Security Act to this section of the Code, combined with the General Counsel opinion including all Agency ADP equipment within the scope of "intelligence activities," appears to exempt all Agency systems from the Computer Security Act.

	4.	os	POSI	TION:	The	Offi	ce o	f Secur	ity h	as a	rrive	d at	the	same	•	
con	clusi	on,	name	ely, t	hat A	gency	sys	tems ar	e exe	mpt.	Ref	erend	ce E	cite	es th	ne
27	July	1988	3 OS	respo	nse t	o the	OMB	guidan	ce me	mora	ndum	which	ı ref	ers	not	only
to	Title	44	, as	above	, but	also	to	Section	2315	of	Title	10,	U.S.	C.		

5.	I	have	attached	for	your	consideration	а	draft	response	to	the	GAC
equest.												

Edward J. Maloney

Attachments:

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

- A. References
- B. Draft Response to GAO

- 2 -